UNIVERSITY OF Minnesota

MEDICAL SCHOOL
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

Sept. 12, 1969

Dear Harold,

Thanks for all the letters and enclosures. This will have to be brief. To add to my prelim worries, I now have strep throat. This is a double problem, since it will take many hours away from study to get extra rest--I had hoped to go at it day and night from here on in.

Radio tapes in the archives sounds amazing--no telling what is in them. I too am unawareof their existance or any listing of it.

Jasper meeting sounds interesting--especially presence of two guests. Enclosed is Jasper's letter. Copy it when you get the chance and return it. He sounds like a reasonably sincere guy. Also enclosed is a copy of my letter to him for your files. I took time off to write it because I think that it would be good to give them reinforcement for their interest.

The Buchanan thing in the Enquirer is the same interview Jim sent Vince and me. This explains Fred's knowledge of the interview. The confusion was because Jim had not identified the interviewer in the xeroxed copy he sent.

On the Shaw piece in Penthouse, was Nagy alive when Shaw was with the trade mart in Italy. I thought that Nagy was in the Soviet Union, unheard from, and presumed dead. Unless my memory is way off, something is very wrong here.

The letter to Mary may have been a mistake, since I suspect that Lifton might have be afraid to say much, given the fact that I will be going down there to meet her. He is probably quite well aware of the fact that I can counter anything he says, and therefore saying too much can only serve to discredit himself. In any event, I will try to explain the situation tactfully.

On the anxiety, there are no good readings which would be worth your time. It is not well understood and everyone has an ax to grind. The extensive experimental work would be of no use to you. As for psychiatrists, I have a very low opinion of them, but, for your purposes, a reliable one who could presbribe medication would be useful. By and large that is all they are good for, although there are good ones. They are not well trained, especially as compared to clinical psychologists. That's why I am getting a PhD and not an MD. Rest and getting out to do things is often the best cure.

Sorry on Mary's letter. If I wasn't in such a daze I would have noticed that it was addressed to you also.

On the slides of the Hughes film and Weaver photo, I should point out before sending them tha they were made from Tink's book. I will definitely be making a better Weaver slide from the negative I borrowed from Fred and may be able to get a few Hughes slides from him also. If you still want them, however, I will send. Take a look at them in the appendix to Tink's book first though.

On Haapanen, he gets good ideas, and did a decent memo on Ruby's finances, but generally does not seem too productive, unless I just don't get to see his stuff (which is unlikely given my correspondence with Rennar). He could have had a memo on the Indonesian thing done a long while ago. He knows the volumes quite well, but knows nothing about the archives.

Vince has had no contact with Tink for a long while, and the last contact was Vince calling him on the phone, and then meeting with him, discussing the charge that Tink was an agent. I would in some ways stand a better chance of getting it, but may mention it to Vince to see what he thinks. Sylvia, naturally, would be the best if she would do it.

Very perceptive on the June speaking engagement! It may well be a phoney. I had not been surprised at it at first because I am very popular out here and very respected in professional circles. For instance, my supporters include one of the most respected lawyers in the state who is a law school dean. But something is up. Enclosed is a copy of the letter I got from the guy, after his phone call (he sent the letter before the call though). He has been making inquiries about me which appear to have little relation to my speaking ability. Although this may sound strange, his mention of hearing me on WCCO is interesting. For some reason, everyone who has said that before has turned out to be a strang e person. For instance, a woman who used that line made many inquiries and was almost certainly an informant--it's too long a story to tell now but there was no doubt in the minds of anyone involved after it happened. It was that appearance that brought out Bill Williams, ex-FBI now, to complain to the station and try to get their only liberal fired for having said nice things about me (the news director is a facist--told an employee that the Jews were behind the riots!) I have a professional private investigator working gratis on this case, and thus far he has found no indication that the organization on the letterhead exists! The law firm mentioned in the letter is very political (i.e. Anderson will be running for governor), the home office of the detective agency has no phone (phone Co. executive ran a check), and the Sec. of State for Minn., after saying that Jerry Johnson had applied for a license, made a point of saying that he was a fine fellow. My private detective says that the latter greatly surprised him--it was very unusual and too coincidental to be believe He thinks that there is a plot underfoot to either use me in a political frameup, or frame me, and is continuing to investigate. In any event, something is up. After prelims I am going to see Mr. Johnson and try to find a few things out.

Stay well. Best wishes.

Gary

PS: I wonder if Bud can find out anything about the people on the letterhead of the detective agency. Chicago people know nothing of it, and neither do Minneapolis law firms. At the very least Johnson has misrepresented the importance of his agency to me, since the only innocent possibility now is that it is very very new.